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## 1. Introduction

Denote by $C[a, b]$ the Banach space of all continuous real-valued functions defined on $[a, b]$, with the supremum norm $\left|: f \|=\max _{x \in[a, b]}\right| f(x) \mid$. Denote by $P_{n}[a, b]$ the subspace of $C[a, b]$ consisting of all polynomials of degree at most $n$. Any bounded linear operator $L: C[a, b] \rightarrow P_{n}[a, b]$ such that $L_{p}=p \forall p \in P_{n}$ is a projection of $C[a, b]$ onto $P_{n}[a, b]$. Let $F$ be a family of projections from $C[a, b]$ to $P_{n}[a, b]$. Then we say $L_{0} \in F$ is minimal in $F$ if $L_{0}\|\leqslant\| L \| \forall L \in F$. Cheney and Price [1] provide an excellent survey of the whole field of projections. If the family $F$ comprises all projections $L: C[a, b] \rightarrow P_{n}[a, b]$ then the minimal $L \|$ is not known. We shall consider a particular family of projections $F$ defined by

$$
\left(L_{n}^{\alpha} f\right)(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i} C_{i}{ }^{\alpha}(x), \quad \alpha,-\frac{1}{2},
$$

where the $C_{i}(x)$ are polynomials orthogonal on $[-1,1]$ with respect to the weight function $\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{x-\frac{1}{2}}$ and

$$
a_{i}=\gamma_{i} \int_{-1}^{1}\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{x-\frac{1}{8}} f(t) C_{i}^{\alpha}(t) d t
$$

where $\gamma_{i}$ is a suitable normalization factor. These polynomials are, of course, the ultraspherical or Gegenbauer polynomials, and the case $\alpha=0$ gives the Chebyshev polynomials $T_{k}(x)=\cos k \theta, x=\cos \theta$. It is well known [2] that

$$
\left\|L_{n}{ }^{0}\right\|=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi}\left|\frac{\sin \left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right) \theta}{\sin \theta / 2}\right| d \theta=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi}\left|D_{n}(\theta)\right| d \theta,
$$

where $D_{n}(\theta)$ is the Dirichlet kernel. Furthermore, by considering the function on $[0, \pi]$ given by

$$
\hat{f}_{n}(\theta)=\operatorname{sgn}\left[D_{n}(\theta)\right]
$$

we obtain $\left\|L_{n}{ }^{0} \hat{f}_{n}\right\| \cdots L_{n}{ }^{0} \|$. By reference to Zygmund [3, p. 73] we see that $\hat{f}_{n}(x)$ for $x \in[-1,1]$ has a Chebyshev series expansion uniformly convergent in the intervals of continuity of $\hat{f}_{n}$ with Chebyshev coefficients defined as

$$
d_{k}^{(n)}=\frac{2}{\pi k} \tan \left(\frac{\pi k}{2 n+1}\right)
$$

The norm of $L_{n}{ }^{0}$ is now given by

$$
\| L_{n}{ }^{0} \mid \cdots \sum_{k=0}^{n} d_{k}^{(n)} T_{k}(1)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} d_{k}^{(n)} .
$$

We shall show that for $0<\alpha<1,\left(L_{n}{ }^{\alpha} \hat{f}_{n}\right)(1)$ is well defined and $\left(L_{n}{ }^{\alpha} \hat{f}_{n}\right)(1)$ ( $L_{n}{ }^{0} \hat{f}_{n}$ ) (1). We make use of a formula connecting ultraspherical polynomials for varying $\alpha$, which is due to Gegenbaueur [5]. For a summary of these and other results concerning connections between families of orthogonal polynomials the recent book by Askey [6] is well worth consulting.

Let $C_{n}^{\lambda}(x)=\sum_{r=0}^{[n / 2]} b_{r}^{(n)} C_{n-2 r}^{\alpha}(x)$. Then the $b_{r}^{(n)}$ are given by

$$
b_{r}^{(n)}=\frac{\Gamma(\alpha)(n-2 r+\alpha) \Gamma(r+\alpha-\lambda) \Gamma(n-r+\lambda)}{\Gamma(\lambda) \Gamma(\lambda-\alpha) r!\Gamma(n-r+\alpha+1)} .
$$

Now $T_{n}(x)$ is given by

$$
T_{n}(x)-\frac{n}{2} \lim _{\lambda, 0} \frac{1}{\lambda} C_{n}{ }^{\lambda}(x) .
$$

Hence,

$$
T_{n}(x)=\frac{n}{2} \lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \sum_{r=0}^{[n / 2]} \frac{b_{r}^{(n)}}{\lambda} C_{n-2 r}^{\alpha}(x)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{b_{r}^{(n)}}{\lambda} & =\frac{\Gamma(\alpha)(n-2 r+\alpha) \Gamma(r+\lambda-\alpha) \Gamma(n-r+\lambda)}{\lambda \Gamma(\lambda) \Gamma(\lambda-\alpha) r!\Gamma(n-r+\alpha+1)} \\
& =\frac{\Gamma(\alpha)(n-2 r+\alpha) \Gamma(r+\lambda-\alpha) \Gamma(n-r+\lambda)}{\Gamma(\lambda+1) \Gamma(\lambda-\alpha) r!\Gamma(n-r+\alpha+1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
e_{r}^{(n)}=\frac{n}{2} \lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{b_{r}^{(n)}}{\lambda}
$$

then

$$
T_{n}(x)=\sum_{r=0}^{[n / 2]} e_{r}^{(n)} C_{n-2 r}^{\alpha}(x)
$$

where

$$
e_{r}^{(n)}=\frac{n \Gamma(\alpha)(n-2 r+\alpha) \Gamma(r-\alpha) \Gamma(n-r)}{2 \Gamma(-\alpha) r!\Gamma(n-r+\alpha+1)}
$$

With the above definitions we have the following result:
Lemma 1. We have $e_{r}^{(n)}<0$ and

$$
e_{r}^{(n)}|>| e_{r+1}^{(n+2)} ; \quad \text { for } \quad 1 \leqslant r \leqslant[n / 2] \text { and } 0<\alpha<1
$$

Proof: The fact that $e_{r}^{(n)}<0$ for $0<\alpha<1,1 \leqslant r \leqslant[n / 2]$ is obtained immediately from the observation that all the arguments to the gamma functions are positive, as are the factors $(n-2 r+\alpha)$, $r$ ! except for $\Gamma(-\alpha)$, which is negative for $0<\alpha<1$.

The $e_{r}^{(n)}, \boldsymbol{e}_{r+1}^{(n+2)}$ are simply the coefficients of $C_{n-2 r}$ in the expansions of $T_{n}$ and $T_{n+2}$, respectively. Since they are both negative for $1<r<[n / 2]$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\left|e_{r+1}^{(n+2)}\right|}{\left|e_{r}^{(n)}\right|}=\frac{e_{r+1}^{(n+2)}}{e_{r}^{(n)}}= & \frac{(n+2) \Gamma(r+1-\alpha) \Gamma(n-r-1)}{(r+1)!\Gamma(n-r+\alpha+2)} \\
& \cdot \frac{r!\Gamma(n-r+\alpha+1)}{n \bar{\Gamma}(r-\alpha) \Gamma(n-r)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the relationship $\Gamma(z+1)=z \Gamma(z)$ to eliminate the $\Gamma$ terms we have

$$
\frac{e_{r+1}^{(n+2)}}{e_{r}^{(n)}}=\frac{(n+2)(r-\alpha)}{n(r+1)(n-r+\alpha+1)(n-r-1)},
$$

which is clearly less than unity, and the proof is complete.

## 2. Rearrangement of the Chebyshev Series

In this section we adopt for convenience the notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k}^{(n)} C_{k}^{\alpha}(x), \quad \text { where } \quad C_{k}^{\alpha}(1)=1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\hat{f}_{n}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} d_{k}^{(n)} T_{k}(x)+\sum_{k=n \rightarrow 1}^{\infty} d_{k}^{(n \prime} T_{k}(x)=p_{n}(x)+q(x)
$$

Then $\left(L_{n}{ }^{0} \hat{f}_{n}\right)(x)=p_{n}(x)$ and $\left(L_{n}{ }^{\alpha} \hat{f}_{n}\right)(x) \sim p_{n}(x)+\left(L_{n}{ }^{\alpha} q\right)(x)$, where formally

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(L_{n}{ }^{\alpha} q\right)(x) & =\sum_{r=n+1}^{\infty} d_{r}^{(x)} \sum_{i=11}^{n} a_{i}^{(k)} C_{k}{ }^{\alpha}(x) \\
& =\sum_{r=0}^{n} b_{r} C_{r}{ }^{2}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{r}=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{r}^{(n i j)} d_{n j j}^{(n)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\left(L_{n}{ }^{\alpha} \hat{f}_{n}\right)(1)$ to be well defined we require these expressions for the $b_{r}$ to converge, when

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(L_{n}^{*} \hat{f}_{n}\right)(1)-\left(L_{n}{ }^{\prime} \hat{f}_{n}\right)(1)=-=\sum_{r=0}^{n} b_{r} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Properties of the Coefficients $d_{k}^{(n)}$

Lemma 2. The sums $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} d_{n+2 j}^{(n)}$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{\alpha} d_{n+2 j-1}^{(n)}$ both converge to nonpositive limits.

Proof. The Chebyshev series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_{k}^{(i)} T_{k}(x)$ clearly converges at $x=1$, i.e., $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_{k}^{(n)}$ and $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{k} d_{k}^{(n)}$ both converge, which is sufficient to ensure the convergence of the two sums indicated in our lemma.

In the sum $\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} d_{k}^{(n)}$ the coefficient $d_{\left[p\left[n \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{2}\right.\right]\right]} \cdots 0$, if $p$ is even, where $[x]$ signifies the integer part of $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Furthermore, since the sign of the $d_{k}^{(n)}$ is controlled by the sign of $\tan (\pi k /(2 n+1))$, this coefficient $d_{[\neq(n+1)]}^{(n)}$ has $n-1$ negative coefficients preceding it, and $n-1$ positive coefficients following it. Since $\tan (\pi k /(2 n+1))$ is symmetrical about $\left[p\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right]$ for $p$ even and for $p\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)-n+1 \leqslant k \leqslant p\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)+n-1$ we have

$$
\left|d_{\left[p\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right]-r}^{(n)}\right|>\left|d_{\left.1 p\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right]+r}^{(n)}\right| \quad 1 \leqslant r \leqslant n-1 .
$$

Now for any even value of $p \geqslant 2$ sums of the form

$$
A_{p}=\sum_{\substack{1-n \leqslant r \leqslant n-1 \\ r \text { even }}} d_{p(n+1)+r}^{(n)}, \quad B_{p}=\sum_{\substack{1-n \leqslant r \leqslant n-1 \\ r \text { odd }}} d_{p(n+1)+r}^{(n)}
$$

must be negative, since each positive $d_{p\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)+r}^{(n)}, 0 \leqslant r \leqslant n-1$ has a corresponding negative $d_{p(n+1,)-}^{(n)}$ of greater modulus. Finally, both $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} d_{n+2 j}^{(n)}$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} d_{1+2 j-1}^{(n)}$ consist of either sums of the form $A_{p}$ or $B_{p}$ and the proof is complete.

## 4. Norms of Projections

Theorem. With the $L_{n}{ }^{\alpha}$ defined as before we have

$$
\min _{0} L_{n}^{a} \quad \forall L_{n}{ }^{0} \quad \forall n \geqslant 1 .
$$

Proof. From (3) we have

$$
\left(L_{n}^{\alpha} \hat{f}_{n}\right)(\mathrm{l})-\left(L_{n} 0 \hat{f}\right)_{n}(\mathrm{i})=\sum_{r=0}^{n} b_{r}
$$

where $b_{r}=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{r}^{(n+j)} d_{n+j}^{(n)}$ from (2). In fact, since alternate $a_{r}^{(n+j)}$ are zero we have

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
b_{r} & =\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{r}^{(n+2 j)} d_{n-2 j}^{(n)} & n-r \text { even }, \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{r}^{(n-2 j-1)} d_{n+2 j 1}^{(n)} \quad n+r \text { odd }
\end{array}
$$

Since the $a_{r}^{(n+2 j)}$ and $a_{r}^{(n+2 j-1)}$ are negative and decrease in modulus (Lemma 1) we have, by application of Lemma 2 that $b_{r}>0$ for $0 \leqslant r \leqslant n$ and the result follows from the inequalities for $0<\alpha<1$ :

$$
\left\|L_{n}{ }^{\alpha}\right\|>\left\|L_{n}{ }^{\alpha} \dot{f}_{n}\right\|>\left(L_{n}{ }^{\alpha} \hat{f}_{n}\right)(1)>\left(L_{n}{ }^{0} \hat{f}_{n}\right)(1)=\left\|L_{n}{ }^{0}\right\| .
$$

The case for $\alpha=1$ can be deduced from the continuity of $L_{n}{ }^{\alpha} \|$.

## 5. Remarks

It does not seem possible to extend this method of proof to the cases where $\alpha>1$, since the sign pattern of Lemma 1 is no longer preserved, although computational results indicate that the result holds good for $\alpha>1$. The case for $\alpha=1$ can also be obtained by using the relation $T_{n}(x)=\frac{1}{2}\left\{U_{n}(x)-\cdots\right.$ $\left.U_{n-2}(x)\right\}$, when the proof is especially straightforward.

Similar relationships between $C_{n}{ }^{\alpha}, C_{n}^{\alpha+1}$ may perhaps yield the result for $\alpha>1$. Clearly, the existing theorem can be extended to show that the Chebyshev projection is minimal in any family $F$ whose orthogonal elements can be arranged so that Lemma 1 continues to hold.
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